

KRAVITZ

Understanding the 2014 Cash Balance Plan Regulations



October 21, 2014

Dan Kravitz, President Kravitz, Inc.







| New Rules: September 19, 2014 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Final Regulations • Effective immediately • Some rules apply to plan years beginning on or after January 1, 2016 Proposed Regulations • Impacts non-compliant plans | | | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Kravit; Inc. All rights reserved. 4 | | | |

| | Good News |
|---------|---|
| | IRS thumbs up for Cash Balance Plans More choices and greater flexibility Exciting new ICR option |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Kravic Inc. All rights reserved. 54 |













| Cash Balance 1.0 Fur | nding Issues |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | |
| <u>Return on Investments ></u> | <u>ICR</u> |
| Earnings 1next year's contribution | on 📕 |
| <u>Return on Investments < I</u> | <u>CR</u> |
| Earningsnext year's contribution | on 1 |
| KRAVITZ | 2014 Kravitz Inc. All rights reserved. 10 |

| Notes | | |
|-------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |







| | An Unexpec | ted Surprise |
|---------|------------|--|
| | | |
| KRAVITZ | | © 2014 Kravitz Inc. All rights reserved 13 |









| Actual Rate of Return With Investmen | t Options |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 100% 90% 80% 70% | 60% Fixed Income Equities |
| KRAVITZ 02014 Konsight | All rights reserved. |

Actual Rate of Return With Investment Options Three Rules: 1. Diversification 2. Employer securities cannot be > 10% 3. Subset of assets: market value approximate liabilities Employer choice, not participant choice.

| Case Study | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|----|
| | Law Firm | Cash Balance | ICR | 3 Investment Strategies | |
| | 50 Partners | Only Partners participate | Actual Rate Of Return with a 6% Cap | Senior Partners - Very Conservative | |
| | 200 Employees | | | | |
| KRA | VITZ | | | © 2014 Kravit; Inc. All rights reserved. | 18 |















| Preservation of | of Capital Rule |
|--|---|
| Participant payout can never be <u>LESS</u> than the sum of employer contributions. | |
| | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Knovitzine All rights reserved. 22 |

| Preservation o | f Capital Rule |
|--|--|
| Preservation of Capital Example: | |
| ↑ Employer contributes \$1,000 for three years | |
| Negative return reduces participant account from \$3,000 to \$2,800 | THE REAL |
| Participant receives the greater of: (a) Account Balance or | JEE |
| (b) Sum of Employer Contributions Payout to participant = \$3,000 | |
| | © 2014 Knewizhe All rights ne arved 23 |





| ICR = Return on a Register Investment Company (RIC) a mutual fund Requirement: "no more vo the broad United States equ or a similarly broad internat market" Acceptable: fund trackin S&P 500 Acceptable: fund trackin based "small cap" index Assets do not need to be | based i |
|--|---|
| actual fund! | n a Registered npany (RIC) suc "no more volati d States equitie oad internation fund tracking t fund tracking a cap" index t need to be inv |
| KRAVITZ © 2014 Kravitz Inc. 1 | © 2014 Kravitz Inc. All righ |

Rates

h as

ile than s market al equities

- the
- a broad-
- vested in

Investment Based Rates Unacceptable Investment **Based Rates:** A fund concentrated in one industry sector or one global region KRAVITZ © 2014 Knovitz Inc. All rights reserved

Example of Investment Based Rate

Need not be invested in the fund being tracked

Example:

ICR = Vanguard S&P 500 index minus 1%

 Plan assets could be invested in a fund that tracks the Russell 2000 index

© 2014 Kennite Inc

- ✓ Excess return covers plan expenses and Preservation of Capital Rule
- Mitigate participants' concerns about investment selection

KRAVITZ



| | New Fixed Rate | es |
|------------------|---|----|
| New in 2 Allo | 2014 Regs: Increase in wable Fixed Rates | |
| | 6% | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Knowitz bec. All rights reserved. | 28 |

| Notes | | |
|-------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Changes to Combination Rates | | |
|---|------|--|
| | Rate | Permitted floor |
| Fixed rate | | |
| Government bond rates + permitted margin | | |
| Investment grade corporate bond rate | | |
| Investment-based rates | | |
| KRAVITZ | | © 2014, Kravitz Inc. All rights reserved. 29 |













| Example: Meaning | gful Benefits Test | | | | |
|--|--|----|--|--|--|
| Example: 30 year old earning \$40,000 per year | | | | | |
| Interest Crediting Rate | Meaning Benefit | | | | |
| 9.5% | \$71 | | | | |
| 4.5% | \$528 | | | | |
| 1.5% | \$1,951 | | | | |
| 0.0% | \$3,880 | | | | |
| Cost to provide "meaningful benefits" can increase significantly as the ICR decreases | | | | | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Knavitz Inc. All rights reserved. | 33 | | | |





| | Non-Discrimination Testing | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----|------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | Comparison: 4.5% vs. 10.0% ICR | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 4.5% ICR | 10% ICR | |
| | Name | Age | Pay | Cash Balance | Profit Sharing | Profit Sharing | |
| | Owner 1 | 65 | \$ 260,000 | \$200,000 | \$34,500 | \$34,500 | |
| | Owner 2 | 45 | \$ 260,000 | \$75,000 | \$34,500 | \$34,500 | |
| | | | | | 7.5% of Pay | 11% of Pay | |
| | Employee 1 | 50 | \$ 85,000 | | 6,400 | 9,300 | |
| | Employee 2 | 40 | \$ 60,000 | | 4,500 | 6,600 | |
| | Employee 3 | 30 | \$ 50,000 | | 3,700 | 5,500 | |
| | Employee 4 | 25 | \$ 40,000 | | 3,000 | 4,400 | |
| | Totals | | | | \$19,600 | \$27,800 | |
| KRAVITZ 02014 Kimische All righta runned 34 | | | | | | | |







Impact on Lump Sum Payments

IRS Limit on Lump Sum Payouts:

- ✓ Greater of ICR or 5.5% interest
- Prior to new regulations: 5.5%
- New Regulations could result in ICR > 5.5%

As ICR increases, max lump sum payouts decrease:

 Ultimate lump-sum can be less than what is in the account

Caution: not paying entire account balance as a lump sum would be major problem!

© 2014 Kravitz Inc. All rights

```
KRAVITZ
```







| | Large Plan Case Study | | | | udy |
|-----|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|------|
| | | | | | |
| | Law Firm | Cash Balance | ICR | 3 Investment Strategies | |
| | 50 Partners | Only Partners participate | Actual Rate Of Return with a 6% Cap | Senior Partners - Very Conservative Conservative J. Junior Partners - Less Conservative | |
| | 200 Employees | | | | |
| KRA | VITZ | | | © 2014 Kravitz Inc. All rights reserve | d 40 |

| Small Plan Case Study 1 | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Small Medical | Cash | ICR | 2 Investment |
| 2 Partners | Only Partners participate | Actual Rate Of Return with a 6% Cap | Partner 1 - Very Conservative Partner 2 - Less Conservative |
| 3 Employees | | | |
| | | | © 2014 Kravitz Inc. All rights reserved. |

| Small Plan Case Study 2 | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | Small Business | Cash Balance | ICR | 3 Investment Strategies | |
| | 2 Partners | All Partners participate | Actual Rate Of Return with a 6% Cap | Partner 1 – Option A Partner 2 – Option B | And in case of the second s |
| | 10 Employees | 3 Employees Participate | | 3 Employees – Option C | 1 and a |
| KRAVITZ © 2014 Remain the All rights reserved 42 | | | | | 2 |





| Issue: Meaningful Benefits Test | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Example: 30 year old earning \$40,000 per year | | | | |
| Investment Return | Meaning Benefit | | | |
| 9.5% | \$71 | | | |
| 4.5% | \$528 | | | |
| 1.5% | \$1,951 | | | |
| 0.0% | \$3,880 | | | |
| Cost to provide "meaningful benefits" can increase significantly as the ICR decreases | | | | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Knavitz Inc. All rights reserved. 43 | | | |

-



















| | Option 1: Wearaway | | | | |
|------|---|----|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Se | et Up Two Hypothetical Accounts: | | | | |
| Ac | <u>count A</u> | | | | |
| 1 | Beginning balance = current account balance | | | | |
| 1 | ✓ Grow each year using original ICR | | | | |
| 1 | No future contributions | | | | |
| Ac | <u>count B</u> | | | | |
| ~ | Same beginning balance as Account A | | | | |
| 1 | Credited with new ICR and | | | | |
| ~ | Add new contributions | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| KRAV | 02014 Knowie, bic. All rights reserved | 49 | | | |









| | |
|------|--|
| | |
| | |







| | Selling Tips |
|--|--|
| Lead with design (investments will follow) It's all about the taxes | |
| | |
| KRAVITZ | © 2014 Kravitzlus. All rights marved. 55 |







| | Recommendation |
|------------------|---|
| | ICR Impact Analysis: |
| | Study impact of different ICR options on plan design Compliance testing Meaningful benefit issue Recommend optimal ICR |
| RAU | 3. If applicable, create a transition plan |
| KRA V ITZ | 0 2014 Krastic, bac. All rights reserved. 58 |

| |
|------|
| |
| |
| |
| |









| | About Kravitz |
|------------------------------|--|
| CASH BALANCE / | Founded October 15, 1977 |
| 2014 National | Los Angeles & New York + 7 satellite offices |
| KRAVIZ BENERALSKI SKALLENSKE | 1,200+ clients, including 500+ Cash Balance plans |
| | National leader in Cash Balance Plans since 1989 |
| | © 2014 Kravitz hte. All rights reserved 62 |





| | CashBala | anceDesign.com |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| ● ○ ● ● ▶ ★ ⊉ ● www.cashba | Cash Balance Design | C Reader |
| The how the second of the seco | abdoreadh ann | |
| | CALCULATORS & LINITS ABOUT REATTER A | NILI CAL STATIS Watch pur O 2014Kanig hir. All rights reserved 64 |

